	Case 1:20-cv-00290-NONE-JLT Docume	ent 21 Filed 01/27/21 Page 1 of 4
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL FOX,	CASE NO. 1:20-cv-0290 NONE JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REFERRING THE CASE TO POST-SCREENING ADR PROJECT AND STAYING THE CASE FOR 90 DAYS
13	v.	
14	ERNEST ZIEGLER,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	When at least one defendant has been served and/or filed an answer, the Court is referring all	
18	post-screening, civil rights cases filed by pro se inmates to the Post-Screening Alternative Dispute	
19	Resolution Project to attempt to resolve cases more quickly and less expensively. Defense counsel	
20	from the Office of the California Attorney General has agreed to participate in this pilot project. No	
21	defenses or objections are waived by participation.	
22	As set forth in the screening order, the Court has found the plaintiff has stated at least one	
23	cognizable civil rights claim. Thus, the Court <b>STAYS</b> this action for 90 days to allow the parties	
24	to investigate the plaintiff's claims, meet and confer and participate in a settlement conference.	
25	There is a presumption that all post-screening civil rights cases assigned to the undersigned	
26	will proceed to settlement conference. However, if after investigating plaintiff's claims and speaking with plaintiff, and after conferring with defense counsel's supervisor, counsel finds in good faith	
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	with plaintiff, and after conferring with defens	se counsel's supervisor, counsel finds in good faith
40		
		1

## Case 1:20-cv-00290-NONE-JLT Document 21 Filed 01/27/21 Page 2 of 4

that a settlement conference would be a waste of resources, defense counsel may move to opt out of this pilot project.

Within 35 days, the assigned Deputy Attorney General SHALL contact the Courtroom Deputy Clerk at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov, to schedule the settlement conference. If the settlement conference cannot be set quickly due to the court's calendar, the parties may seek an extension of the initial 90-day stay. Based upon the foregoing, the Court ORDERS:

- 1. This action is STAYED for 90 days to allow the parties an opportunity to settle their dispute before a responsive pleading is filed, or the discovery process begins. No other pleadings or other documents may be filed in this case during the stay. The parties SHALL NOT engage in formal discovery, but they may jointly agree to engage in informal discovery.
- 2. <u>Within 30 days from the date of this order</u>, the parties **SHALL** file the attached notice, indicating their agreement to proceed to an early settlement conference or whether they believe settlement is not achievable at this time.
- 3. <u>Within 35 days from the date of this order</u>, the assigned Deputy Attorney General **SHALL** contact this court's Courtroom Deputy Clerk at SHall@caed.uscourts.gov, to schedule the settlement conference;
- 4. If the parties settle their case during the stay of this action, they **SHALL** file a Notice of Settlement as required by Local Rule 160;
- 5. The Clerk of the Court **SHALL** serve via email, copies of the plaintiff's second amended complaint (Doc. 29), the screening orders (Docs. 31, 34), this order to Supervising Deputy Attorney General Christopher Becker, <u>and</u> copy of this order to ADR Coordinator Sujean Park;

22 ///

////

///

25 ///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By way of guidance, if the defense intends to file an exhaustion motion and believes in good faith that it has a significant chance of success, this would be a likely circumstance where the opt-out provision should be employed.

## 6. The parties are reminded of their obligation to keep the court informed of any changes of addresses during the stay and while the action is pending. Changes of address must be reported promptly in a separate document entitled "Notice of Change of Address." See L.R. 182(f). IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston Dated: **January 26, 2021** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-00290-NONE-JLT Document 21 Filed 01/27/21 Page 3 of 4

	Case 1:20-cv-00290-NONE-JLT Document 21 Filed 01/27/21 Page 4 of 4		
1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
5			
6	MICHAEL FOX,  CASE NO. 1:20-cv-0290 NONE JLT (PC)		
7	NOTICE REGARDING EARLY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE		
8	Plaintiff,		
9	v.		
10	ERNEST ZIEGLER,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13			
14	in party of commercial and party signing coron, agrees and there is a good change		
15 16	that an early settlement conference will resolve this action and wishes to engage in an early		
17	settlement conference.  Yes No		
18	105		
19	2. The plaintiff (Check one):		
20	Would like to participate in the settlement conference in person, OR		
21	Would like to participate in the settlement conference by video conference.		
22			
23	Dated:		
24			
25	Plaintiff or Counsel for Defendants		
26			
27			
28			